

---

**From:** Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@CH2M.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 26, 2018 1:06 PM  
**To:** Brooks, George P CIV  
**Cc:** Banister, Stephen D CIV NAVFAC SW  
**Subject:** [Non-DoD Source] FW: HPNS - Parcel B&G draft report  
**Attachments:** Revised Rec.docx; Revised Rec\_KH.docx

Hi Pat,

As discussed, see attached for Nina's recommended revisions to the conclusions paragraph in the soil reports. I also attached a redlined version (with my initials at the end of the filename) where I made some minor tweaks. Let me know what you think and then I can update the Parcel G response matrix to incorporate this.

Thanks!

Kim

---

**From:** Bacey, Juanita@DTSC [mailto:Juanita.Bacey@dtsc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 23, 2018 9:53 PM  
**To:** Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@CH2M.com>  
**Subject:** HPNS - Parcel B&G draft report [EXTERNAL]


Hi Kim,

Sorry I lost track of this task. Attached is the language I drafted per our discussion. We can talk Monday if you like. I'm sure you/navy may want to tweak it a bit. I did speak with Lily prior to drafting. She too was waiting on some revised language from Pat. Per her mgmt, they wanted the EPA/regulatory agencies conclusions to be clear and expressed in the Executive Summary as well as in the Conclusions and Recommendations. So that is what I tried to do, while still keeping some of your language. I added OARU info too since they were the independent reviewer. I have not had a chance to show it to Lily yet, but will send it to her. Perhaps she'll have a comment on it by Monday.

Nina

Revision to be added to Parcel B & G Data Evaluations Findings Report –  
Executive Summary and Conclusions and Recommendations Section.

(b) (5)



(b) (5)